arXiv:1410.3448v3 [hep-ph] 23 Dec 2014

Transverse single-spin asymmetries in $p^\uparrow p \to \gamma X$ from quark-gluon-quark correlations in the proton

K. Kanazawa[1], Y. Koike[2], A. Metz[1], and D. Pitonyak[3]

[1]*Department of Physics, Barton Hall, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA*

[2]*Department of Physics, Niigata University, Ikarashi, Niigata 950-2181, Japan*

[3]*RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA*

December 24, 2014

Abstract

We analyze the transverse single-spin asymmetry in direct photon production from proton-proton collisions, denoted A_N^γ, within collinear twist-3 factorization. We provide a calculation of the contribution due to quark-gluon-quark correlations in the unpolarized proton as well as summarize previous studies on those effects in the polarized proton. Both soft-gluon poles and soft-fermion poles are considered. From this complete result we then estimate A_N^γ, including error bands due to uncertainties in the non-perturbative inputs, at kinematics relevant for planned measurements of this observable at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider. We find A_N^γ can allow for a "clean" extraction of the Qiu-Sterman function, which could lead to a definitive solution to the so-called "sign mismatch" crisis. Since we use the Sivers function extracted from semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering to develop our input for the Qiu-Sterman function, this reaction can also make a statement about the process dependence of the Sivers function.

1 Introduction

The transverse single-spin asymmetry (TSSA) A_N has been studied since the mid-1970s. Large effects first measured in polarized lambda production at FermiLab [1] proved difficult to describe in perturbative QCD [2]. In the 1980s it was shown that quark-gluon-quark correlations in the nucleon could lead to substantial TSSAs [3]. In the 1990s this formalism, known as collinear twist-3 factorization, was worked out for proton-proton collisions in more detail, first for direct photon production [4, 5] and then for pion production [6]. Several other analyses furthered the development of this framework — see, e.g., [7–14]. In addition, this theoretical work has been complemented by many experimental measurements of A_N at proton-(anti)proton accelerators over the last two decades [15–23]. Most of this experimental data has come in the form of light-hadron asymmetries A_N^h, e.g., $h = \pi$, K, η, with the exception of the jet asymmetry A_N^{jet} recently measured by the A$_N$DY Collaboration [22]. So far, no measurement of the direct photon asymmetry A_N^γ has been performed, although there are plans to carry out such experiments at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) by both the PHENIX Collaboration [24] and the STAR Collaboration [25].

At this stage we feel it is important to understand the analytical structure of the single-spin dependent cross section for these aforementioned collinear twist-3 observables. For a general process

$A^\uparrow + B \to C + X$, this cross section can be written as the sum of three terms:

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma(\vec{S}_\perp) = & \; H \otimes f_{a/A(3)} \otimes f_{b/B(2)} \otimes D_{C/c(2)} \\ & + H' \otimes f_{a/A(2)} \otimes f_{b/B(3)} \otimes D_{C/c(2)} \\ & + H'' \otimes f_{a/A(2)} \otimes f_{b/B(2)} \otimes D_{C/c(3)} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with $f_{a/A(3)}$ the twist-3 non-perturbative function associated with parton a in proton A, and likewise for the other distribution and fragmentation functions. The hard factors corresponding to each term are given by H, H', and H'', and the symbol $\otimes$ represents convolutions in the appropriate momentum fractions. In Eq. (1) a sum over partonic channels and parton flavors in each channel is understood. One then defines

$$A_N = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\sigma(\vec{S}_\perp) - d\sigma(-\vec{S}_\perp)\right]}{\frac{1}{2}\left[d\sigma(\vec{S}_\perp) + d\sigma(-\vec{S}_\perp)\right]} \equiv \frac{d\Delta\sigma(\vec{S}_\perp)}{d\sigma_{unp}} \,, \tag{2}$$

where $d\sigma_{unp}$ is the unpolarized cross section. Note of course that for A_N^{jet} and A_N^γ the third term in Eq. (1) is not relevant, and the fragmentation functions $D_{C/c(2)}$ in the first two terms are not needed.[1]

We see from Eq. (1) that for the process of hadron production, which as we mentioned has been intensely studied for close to 40 years, the distribution and fragmentation twist-3 contributions to the cross section cannot be disentangled, i.e., all of them are summed together in the cross section. This is different from reactions where transverse momentum dependent (TMD) functions are relevant, e.g., semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering (SIDIS) and electron-positron annihilation to two hadrons, where one can isolate certain effects [26–28], e.g., Sivers [29] and Collins [30] asymmetries. Consequently, one must be careful in determining which term in Eq. (1) is dominant. For many years it was often assumed that the first term, specifically the piece involving the Qiu-Sterman function $G_F(x,x)$[2] [4–6], was the main cause of A_N^π. However, this led to a so-called "sign mismatch" between the Qiu-Sterman function and the TMD Sivers function extracted from SIDIS [31]. This issue could not be resolved through more flexible parametrizations of the Sivers function [32] and, in fact, the authors of Ref. [33] argued, by looking at A_N data on the neutron target TSSA in inclusive DIS [34], that $G_F(x,x)$ cannot be the main source of A_N^π. Recently we showed in Ref. [35] for the first time that the fragmentation contribution in collinear twist-3 factorization (i.e., the third term in Eq. (1)) actually can describe A_N^π very well. By using a Sivers function fully consistent with SIDIS, we demonstrated that this mechanism could also resolve the sign-mismatch puzzle. Nevertheless, an independent extraction of $G_F(x,x)$, through observables like A_N^{jet} and A_N^γ, is crucial to confirm this assertion. However, one must keep in mind that for A_N^{jet} and A_N^γ other twist-3 distribution effects can enter besides the Qiu-Sterman function. Thus, in order to have a "clean" extraction of $G_F(x,x)$, it would be ideal if these other terms were numerically small.

Therefore, in this paper we return to the study of the TSSA in $p^\uparrow p \to \gamma X$ to see if this reaction could provide such an observable. (For recent analyses of this effect in $p^\uparrow A$ collisions, see Refs. [36,37].) In twist-3 collinear factorization, A_N^γ has contributions from multiparton correlators inside either the transversely polarized proton (i.e., the first term in Eq. (1)) or the unpolarized proton (i.e., the second term in Eq. (1)). For this process the former has been widely discussed in the literature for both (twist-3) quark-gluon-quark [5, 8, 10, 38–42] and tri-gluon [43] non-perturbative functions. For the former one has both soft-gluon pole (SGP) effects (i.e., the Qiu-Sterman function) as well as soft-fermion pole (SFP) terms. We focus here instead on the quark-gluon-quark correlator that exists inside the unpolarized proton, where again both SGPs and SFPs enter. (Note that tri-gluon

[1] Here we ignore photons coming from fragmentation [40], which can be largely suppressed by using isolation cuts.

[2] There are different notations used in the literature for the Qiu-Sterman function, most notably $T_F(x,x)$.

correlators only arise inside a transversely polarized hadron.) This twist-3 function $E_F(x_1, x_2)$, defined below, is a chiral-odd object that combines with the twist-2 chiral-odd transversity to bring about the asymmetry. Its impact on TSSAs so far has been investigated only for light-hadron production [44], and its contribution to A_N^π was found to be negligible [45]. However, its significance for A_N in other processes is still unclear. In fact, the effect from $E_F(x_1, x_2)$ could be enhanced for A_N^γ compared with A_N^π just like that from $G_F(x_1, x_2)$ is significantly enhanced because of the color structure [31, 41]. In addition, we summarize the previous results for A_N^γ that enter from the first term in Eq. (1) and perform a numerical study at RHIC kinematics of all quark-gluon-quark correlator contributions. Again, this complete solution includes pieces involving chiral-even and chiral-odd functions at both SGPs and SFPs. We note that previous work on tri-gluon correlators show such contributions for this observable are negligible in the forward region [43]. We find then through our results that A_N^γ could give a "clean" observable to extract the Qiu-Sterman function. Since we use the Sivers function taken from SIDIS to develop our input for the Qiu-Sterman function, one can also learn about the process dependence of the Sivers function from such experiments. Furthermore, by comparing our results to those that already exist in the literature from the Generalized Parton Model (GPM) [46, 47], we find that this observable could differentiate between the GPM and twist-3 formalisms.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Sec. 2 we provide calculational details on the second term in Eq. (1) for A_N^γ; in Sec. 3 we report on our phenomenological analysis, including error bands generated from the uncertainties of our non-perturbative inputs, in particular the Sivers function; and in Sec. 4 we summarize and conclude our work. Some technical steps of the calculation are presented in Appendix A.

2 Calculation of the unpolarized quark-gluon-quark correlator term

In this section we provide the main steps of the analytical calculation of the contribution to A_N^γ that arises from twist-3 effects in the unpolarized proton. First, we define the relevant functions needed in our computation. The unpolarized quark-gluon-quark correlator for a quark of flavor a is defined as [48–51][3]

$$\begin{aligned} M_{F,ij}^{a,\alpha}(x_1, x_2) &\equiv \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\frac{d\mu}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x_1} e^{i\mu(x_2-x_1)} \langle p|\, \bar\psi_j^a(0) g F^{\alpha n}(\mu n)\psi_i^a(\lambda n)\,|p\rangle \\ &= \frac{M_N}{4}(\gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\lambda)_{ij}\epsilon^{\lambda\alpha np} E_F^a(x_1, x_2) + \cdots , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where M_N is the nucleon mass, $F^{\mu\nu} \equiv F_A^{\mu\nu} T_A$ is the field strength tensor with T_A being the color matrices, g is the strong coupling constant, $\epsilon^{\lambda\alpha np} = \epsilon^{\lambda\alpha\rho\sigma} n_\rho p_\sigma$ with $\epsilon_{0123} = +1$ is the Levi-Civita tensor, and n^μ is a light-like vector satisfying $p \cdot n = 1$ and $n \cdot S_\perp = 0$. The dots represent higher-twist contributions. From Hermiticity and time-reversal invariance, this function has the symmetry property

$$E_F^a(x_1, x_2) = E_F^a(x_2, x_1)\,. \tag{4}$$

The antiquark distribution is given by

$$E_F^{\bar a}(x_1, x_2) = E_F^a(-x_2, -x_1)\,. \tag{5}$$

The SGP function $E_F^a(x, x)$ is related to the Boer-Mulders function $h_1^{\perp a}(x, \vec k_T^2)$, defined through an unpolarized quark-quark correlator as [52, 53]

$$\int \frac{dy^- d^2\vec y_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ik\cdot y} \langle p|\bar\psi_j^a(0)\mathcal{W}_\pm(0, y)\psi_i^a(y)|p\rangle\Big|_{y^+=0} = \frac{1}{2M_N}\sigma^{\alpha\nu} k_{\perp\alpha}\, p_\nu\, h_1^{\perp a\,(\pm)}(x, \vec k_T^2) + \dots , \tag{6}$$

[3] Eq. (3) holds in the lightcone gauge $A^+ = 0$, where gauge links between the field operators reduce to unity.



Figure 1: Generic diagrams giving rise to A_N^γ from the chiral-odd twist-3 function $E_F(x_1, x_2)$ in the unpolarized proton are shown in (a), (b). The mirror diagrams also contribute to the asymmetry.

where $\mathcal{W}_\pm(0, y)$ is a future-pointing (+) or past-pointing (−) Wilson line connecting the quark fields between their spacetime points, which renders the bilocal matrix element color gauge invariant. Which Wilson line is chosen depends on the process under consideration — see, e.g., [54–59]. Note that since $h_1^\perp$ is naïve time-reversal odd, one has $h_1^{\perp(+)} = -h_1^{\perp(-)}$ [54]. Using $\gamma_5 \not{p} \gamma_\lambda \epsilon^{\lambda\alpha np} = \sigma^{\alpha p}$ for $\alpha = \perp$ leads to the relation [57]

$$E_F^a(x, x) = \pm \frac{1}{\pi M_N^2} \int d^2\vec{k}_T \, \vec{k}_T^2 \, h_1^{\perp a\,(\pm)}(x, \vec{k}_T^2) \,. \tag{7}$$

The quark transversity $h(x)$ is given by a polarized quark-quark correlator in the standard way,

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \, \langle pS_\perp | \, \bar{\psi}_j^a(0) \psi_i^a(\lambda n) \, | pS_\perp \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_5 \not{S}_\perp \not{p})_{ij} h^a(x) + \cdots \,. \tag{8}$$

For direct photon production in proton-proton collisions,

$$p^\uparrow(p', S_\perp) + p(p) \to \gamma(q) + X \,, \tag{9}$$

we define the Mandelstam variables at the hadron level by

$$S = (p' + p)^2, \quad T = (p' - q)^2, \quad U = (p - q)^2, \tag{10}$$

and at the partonic level by

$$\hat{s} = (x'p' + xp)^2, \quad \hat{t} = (x'p' - q)^2, \quad \hat{u} = (xp - q)^2. \tag{11}$$

Generic diagrams for the contribution to A_N^γ we wish to compute are shown in Fig. 1. We will denote this as the "chiral-odd" (χ-o) piece since it involves chiral-odd functions.

Based on the collinear twist-3 formalism in the Feynman gauge for the pole contributions [9, 60], it is straightforward to obtain the cross section in a gauge invariant factorized form as

$$E_\gamma \frac{d^3 \Delta\sigma^{\chi\text{-}o}}{d^3\vec{q}} = \frac{e_a^2 \alpha_{em} \alpha_s}{S} \int \frac{dx'}{x'} h(x') \int dx_1 \int dx_2 \, \mathrm{Tr} \left[i M_F^\alpha(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial S_\sigma(k_1, k_2, x'p', q) p^\sigma}{\partial k_2^\alpha} \bigg|_{\text{c.l.}} \right], \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha_{em(s)} = e^2(g^2)/4\pi$ is the electromagnetic (strong) coupling constant, $S_\sigma(k_1, k_2, x'p', q)$ is the hard factor corresponding to the quark-gluon-quark correlator in the unpolarized proton $\langle p|\bar{\psi} A^\sigma \psi|p\rangle$,



Figure 2: Feynman diagrams that produce SGPs are shown in (a), (b). The circle represents the sum of the t-channel and u-channel diagrams for the partonic subprocess.

and "c.l." indicates the collinear limits: $k_{1(2)} \to x_{1(2)}p$. For simplicity, we omitted the color and flavor indices, A and a, in $M_F^\alpha(x_1, x_2)$ and $S_\sigma(k_1, k_2, x'p', q)$, and the summation over these indices is understood. We recall that for the calculation of the SFP term one can make a simplification owing to the Ward identity for the hard part [9],

$$\frac{\partial S_\sigma(k_1, k_2, x'p', q)p^\sigma}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\bigg|_{\text{c.l.}}^{\text{SFP}} = \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} S_\alpha^{\text{SFP}}(x_1 p, x_2 p, x'p', q)\,. \tag{13}$$

With the factorized expression at hand, we now turn to calculate the perturbative hard coefficients. The relevant diagrams are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for the SGP and SFP contributions, respectively. For the calculation of the former it is convenient to utilize the master formula [10, 61, 62], which we derive in Appendix A for the present case. Recognizing that the SGP occurs through the initial-state interaction, the corresponding hard part can be reduced as

$$\frac{\partial S_\sigma(k_1, k_2, x'p', q)p^\sigma}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\bigg|_{\text{c.l.}}^{\text{SGP}} = -i\pi\delta(x_2 - x_1)\left[\frac{d}{d(x'p')^\alpha} S(x_1 p, x'p', q) - \tilde{S}_\alpha(x_1 p, x'p', q)\right], \tag{14}$$

where $S(xp, x'p', q)$ represents a reduced cut 2-to-2 amplitude which becomes the corresponding cross section after the Dirac- and color- traces are taken together with the appropriate factor from $M_F^\alpha(x_1, x_2)$. Its precise definition is given in Appendix A. Here we note the appearance of an additional term $\tilde{S}_\alpha$, which is absent for the SGP contributions involving chiral-even functions. It is given by

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha(xp, x'p', q) = S(xp, x'p', q)\Big|_{\not{S}_\perp \to \frac{S_{\perp\alpha}\not{p}}{x'p\cdot p'}}\,. \tag{15}$$

The SGP hard cross section for the first term in (14) is closely related to the transverse double-spin dependent hard part for the leading-twist observable A_{TT} in direct photon production [63],

$$\hat{\sigma}_{TT} = \frac{4C_F}{N}\frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{t}\hat{u}}\left[2(q\cdot S_\perp)(q\cdot S'_\perp) + \frac{\hat{t}\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}(S_\perp\cdot S'_\perp)\right]. \tag{16}$$

The final result is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_\gamma\frac{d^3\Delta\sigma^{\chi\text{-}o}}{d^3\vec{q}} = {} & \frac{\alpha_{em}\alpha_s\pi M_N}{S}\epsilon^{pnqS_\perp}\int\frac{dx'}{x'}\int\frac{dx}{x}\,\delta(\hat{s}+\hat{t}+\hat{u}) \\ & \times\sum_a e_a^2\left[\left(E_F^a(x,x) - x\frac{dE_F^a(x,x)}{dx}\right)h^{\bar{a}}(x')\frac{\hat{\sigma}_1^{\text{SGP}}}{-\hat{t}} + E_F^a(x,x)h^{\bar{a}}(x')\hat{\sigma}_2^{\text{SGP}}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$



Figure 3: Feynman diagrams that produce SFPs are shown in (a)–(f) . A coherent gluon line from the unpolarized proton attaches to each dot. The mirror diagrams also contribute. Graphs producing SFPs that cancel one another are not shown. See [39] for details.

where the partonic hard parts read[4]

$$\hat{\sigma}_1^{\rm SGP} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{pnqS_\perp}}\,\hat{\sigma}_{TT}(S_\perp^\lambda \to \epsilon^{pnq\lambda}, S'_\perp \to S_\perp, C_F/N \to -1/2N^2) = -\frac{2}{N^2}\,, \tag{18}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_2^{\rm SGP} = \frac{(\hat{t}-\hat{u})}{N^2\hat{t}\hat{u}}\,, \tag{19}$$

with $N=3$ the number of colors and $C_F = 4/3$. It turns out that the hard factors for the SFP pieces vanish after summing over all graphs.

3 Phenomenology of A_N^γ

In this section we summarize the other pieces needed, in addition to Eq. (17), in order to give a numerical estimate of A_N^γ from quark-gluon-quark correlators in the proton. First, the unpolarized cross section is given by

$$E_\gamma \frac{d^3\sigma_{unp}}{d^3\vec{q}} = \frac{\alpha_{em}\alpha_s}{S}\frac{1}{N}\int\frac{dx'}{x'}\int\frac{dx}{x}\,\delta(\hat{s}+\hat{t}+\hat{u})\sum_a e_a^2\Big[f^a(x)f^{\bar{a}}(x')\hat{\sigma}_{a\bar{a}}+f^a(x)f^g(x')\hat{\sigma}_{ag}+f^g(x)f^a(x')\hat{\sigma}_{ga}\Big], \tag{20}$$

with the hard cross sections

$$\hat{\sigma}_{a\bar{a}} = 2C_F\left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{t}}+\frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{u}}\right) = \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{a}a}\,, \tag{21}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ag} = 2T_R\left(\frac{\hat{s}}{-\hat{u}}+\frac{-\hat{u}}{\hat{s}}\right), \tag{22}$$

[4]Since we have already factored out $\epsilon^{pnqS_\perp}$ in (17), we must divide by this factor after the first equality in (18).

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ga} = 2T_R \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{-\hat{t}} + \frac{-\hat{t}}{\hat{s}} \right) , \tag{23}$$

where $T_R = 1/2$. The functions $f^a(x)$ and $f^g(x)$ are the usual unpolarized quark and gluon distribution functions, respectively.

Next, we have the spin-dependent cross section involving chiral-even functions, which we will call the "chiral-even" (χ-e) piece. These terms involve the functions $G_F(x_1, x_2)$ and $\widetilde{G}_F(x_1, x_2)$. We refer to Ref. [7] for the definitions and symmetry properties of these correlators. As mentioned in Sec. 1, $G_F(x, x)$ is known as the Qiu-Sterman function and is related to the Sivers function [29] $f_{1T}^{\perp}(x, \vec{k}_T^2)$ through [57]

$$G_F^a(x, x) = \mp \frac{1}{\pi M_N^2} \int d^2\vec{k}_T \, \vec{k}_T^2 \, f_{1T}^{\perp a\,(\pm)}(x, \vec{k}_T^2) \, . \tag{24}$$

Recall also from Sec. 1 the sign mismatch between two different extractions of the Qiu-Sterman function [31]. This seems to have a potential resolution by attributing A_N^{π} to the fragmentation mechanism rather than the Qiu-Sterman function [35], but still an independent extraction of $G_F(x, x)$ through an observable like A_N^{γ} is necessary. As with the chiral-odd term, the chiral-even cross section has both SGPs and SFPs. These are given, respectively, by [5, 8, 10, 38–42]

$$\begin{aligned} E_\gamma \frac{d^3\Delta\sigma^{\chi\text{-}e,\mathrm{SGP}}}{d^3\vec{q}} &= -\frac{\alpha_{em}\alpha_s}{S} \frac{\pi M_N}{N C_F} \epsilon^{pnqS_\perp} \int \frac{dx'}{x'} \int \frac{dx}{x} \, \delta(\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_a e_a^2 \frac{1}{-\hat{u}} \left[\frac{1}{2N} f^{\bar{a}}(x) \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{a}a} - \frac{N}{2} f^g(x) \hat{\sigma}_{ga} \right] \left[x' \, \frac{dG_F^a(x', x')}{dx'} - G_F^a(x', x') \right] , \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_\gamma \frac{d^3\Delta\sigma^{\chi\text{-}e,\mathrm{SFP}}}{d^3\vec{q}} &= -\frac{\alpha_{em}\alpha_s}{S} \frac{\pi M_N}{2N} \epsilon^{pnqS_\perp} \int \frac{dx'}{x'} \int \frac{dx}{x} \, \delta(\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_a \Bigg[\sum_b e_a e_b \hat{\sigma}_{ab}^{\mathrm{SFP}} \left\{ G_F^a(0, x') + \widetilde{G}_F^a(0, x') \right\} f^b(x) \\ &\qquad + \sum_b e_a e_b \hat{\sigma}_{a\bar{b}}^{\mathrm{SFP}} \left\{ G_F^a(0, x') + \widetilde{G}_F^a(0, x') \right\} f^{\bar{b}}(x) \\ &\qquad + \, e_a^2 \hat{\sigma}_{ag}^{\mathrm{SFP}} \left\{ G_F^a(0, x') + \widetilde{G}_F^a(0, x') \right\} f^g(x) \Bigg] , \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{a}a}$, $\hat{\sigma}_{ga}$ in the SGP term are given in (21), (23). Those for the SFP contribution read [39]

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ab}^{\mathrm{SFP}} = \frac{2(\hat{s}^2 + \hat{u}^2)}{\hat{t}^2 \hat{u}} + \frac{2\hat{s}(\hat{u} - \hat{s})}{N \hat{t} \hat{u}^2} \delta_{ab} \, , \tag{27}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{a\bar{b}}^{\mathrm{SFP}} = -\frac{2(\hat{s}^2 + \hat{u}^2)}{\hat{t}^2 \hat{u}} + \left[\frac{2N\hat{s}}{\hat{u}^2} + \frac{2(\hat{u}^2 + \hat{s}\hat{t})}{N \hat{s} \hat{t} \hat{u}} \right] \delta_{ab} \, , \tag{28}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ag}^{\mathrm{SFP}} = \frac{2[N^2 \hat{t}\hat{u} - \hat{s}(\hat{s} - \hat{t})]}{(N^2 - 1) \hat{s} \hat{t} \hat{u}} \, . \tag{29}$$

The sum for a is over all quark and antiquark flavors ($a = u$, d, s, $\bar{u}$, $\bar{d}$, $\bar{s}$), and $\sum_b$ indicates that the sum for b is restricted over the quark flavors when a is a quark and over antiquark flavors when a is an antiquark.

Finally, we are in a position to give a numerical estimate for A_N^{γ} from the sum of Eqs. (17), (25), (26). This requires inputs for the non-perturbative functions that enter the formulas. For the SGP correlators $E_F(x, x)$ and $G_F(x, x)$ we make use of the identities in Eqs. (7), (24), respectively, that relate the first to the Boer-Mulders function and the second to the Sivers function. For the Boer-Mulders function we take the parametrization from Ref. [64] (see [65] for a fit without antiquarks),



Figure 4: A_N^γ vs. x_F at a fixed $q_T = 2\,\mathrm{GeV}$ for a range $|x_F| < 0.8$. The center-of-mass energy is set at $\sqrt{S} = 200\,\mathrm{GeV}$. The SGP pieces are given by the long-dashed curve for chiral-even and the short-dashed curve for chiral-odd. The chiral-even SFP part is shown by the dot-dashed curve. Note again that the chiral-odd SFP term vanishes. The sum of all contributions is the solid curve. The shaded area gives the error band in the calculation as described in the text.

while for the Sivers function we use the extraction from Ref. [66]. Since at this point no information on the SFP functions is available, we assume the relation [11]

$$G_F(0,x) + \widetilde{G}_F(0,x) = G_F(x,x)\,. \tag{30}$$

We mention that it will be necessary to obtain these SFP functions through other reactions in order to give a firm prediction of the effect these have on A_N^γ. Nevertheless, model calculations of quark-gluon-quark correlators show that chiral-even SFP functions are much smaller as compared to the SGP one [67] and might even vanish [68]. Therefore, we believe that the ansatz in (30) is suitable to obtain the maximum possible chiral-even SFP contribution to A_N^γ. The transversity function is taken from the extraction in [69], with the antiquarks set to saturate the Soffer bound [70]. For the unpolarized distributions we use the GRV98 [71] fit, which were also used by Refs. [64–66, 69] for the other aforementioned non-perturbative inputs that enter. All parton correlation functions are evaluated at the scale q_T with leading order evolution of the collinear functions.

In Figs. 4–6 we show an estimate of A_N^γ from all these pole contributions at different kinematics relevant for the measurement of this observable at PHENIX and STAR. As seen in Figs. 4–6, in general the chiral-odd piece is negligible. We have checked that this is a robust statement by changing parameters in both the Boer-Mulders function from [64] (including looking at both Fit 1 and Fit 2) and the transversity function from [69] within their error ranges. In all cases, we found the chiral-odd piece is roughly four orders of magnitude smaller than the total asymmetry (in the x_F-range where A_N^γ is nonzero). In particular, this observation does not change if one allows for a flavor-dependent large-x behavior in the Boer-Mulders or transversity functions, as the corresponding partonic hard cross sections (18), (19) are extremely small. Our focus then is on the chiral-even contribution in Eqs. (25), (26). Since both the SGP and SFP terms are parameterized in terms of the Sivers function, we not only give central curves for these parts but also provide an error estimate for A_N^γ based on the uncertainty in the Sivers function computed in [66]. That is, the band in the figures is the total error for A_N^γ coming from Eqs. (25), (26). This uncertainty is quite large in the forward region due to the fact that the Sivers function is mostly unconstrained at large x [66].

We now specifically discuss each figure. In Fig. 4 we give A_N^γ vs. x_F at a fixed $q_T = 2\,\mathrm{GeV}$ for a range $|x_F| < 0.8$. The center-of-mass energy is set at $\sqrt{S} = 200\,\mathrm{GeV}$. We see in the forward region that the asymmetry can be on the order of $\sim -(2-3\%)$, while in the backward region the effect is extremely small. The "Sivers-type" $G_F(x,x)$ term essentially gives all of A_N^γ at $x_F > 0$. Recall from Ref. [43] that the contribution from tri-gluon correlators to A_N^γ was negligible for $x_F > 0$ but



Figure 5: A_N^γ vs. x_F at fixed η for $\eta = 3.0,\ 3.5$ and $\sqrt{S} = 200,\ 510\,\text{GeV}$. The curve labels are the same as in Fig. 4.

potentially significant for $x_F < 0$. Thus, a measurement of A_N^γ in the backward region could provide a constraint on these mostly unknown tri-gluon twist-3 functions.

In Fig. 5 we give A_N^γ vs. x_F at a fixed rapidity η for $\eta = 3.0,\ 3.5$ and $\sqrt{S} = 200,\ 510\,\text{GeV}$. All of the plots present the same feature, namely, A_N^γ decreases with increasing x_F. The 200 GeV curves show an asymmetry of $\sim -(3-5\%)$ for $0.2 < x_F < 0.4$, while the 510 GeV ones give $A_N^\gamma \sim -(1-2\%)$ in that x_F-range. The effect also increases with increasing rapidity. Again, the Qiu-Sterman piece gives the entire asymmetry.[5] We note that in Ref. [42] an even larger error band was obtained for the Sivers-type contribution by using the so-called "scan procedure" [72]. Unlike ours, this band ends up crossing the x_F-axis at all values of $x_F > 0$ considered.[6] Therefore, one most likely cannot claim that there exists a robust prediction of a nonzero A_N^γ at any region of $x_F > 0$. In order to pin down the observable more exactly, and in general A_N for any process in the forward region that relies on TMD inputs, one needs more information on TMDs at larger x. This is one of the goals of the 12 GeV upgrade at Jefferson Lab [73]. Finally, in Fig. 6 we give A_N^γ vs. q_T at a fixed $x_F = 0.25$ for $\sqrt{S} = 200,\ 510\,\text{GeV}$. We see the same trend as Fig. 5 but not as fast a falloff. Recall that the twist-3 calculation of $A_N^{\pi^0}$ also shows a similar slow decrease as a function of pion transverse momentum [12,35], which is consistent with the observed data [17,21,74]. The asymmetry is $\sim -(1-2\%)$, and in the 200 GeV case the SFP piece can give some contribution at higher-q_T. Also, there seems to be no dependence on $\sqrt{S}$ in this scenario. Overall, from this numerical study we see the dominance of the chiral-even SGP term could allow one to "cleanly" extract the Qiu-Sterman function $G_F(x,x)$ and resolve the sign-mismatch crisis as well as comment on the process dependence of the Sivers function. It is also important to mention that A_N^γ has been studied in the GPM, where one finds a *positive* asymmetry [46,47]. Thus, a measurement of A_N^γ could distinguish between the GPM and twist-3 approaches.

[5] We found that the chiral-even SFP term can only become comparable to the SGP term if its associated non-perturbative functions fall off as slow or slower than the *derivative* of the Qiu-Sterman function at large x. This is an unlikely scenario, as we stated after Eq. (30).

[6] We also mention that the Sivers function used in Ref. [42] has a flavor-dependent large-x behavior. The only noticeable difference (in addition to the much larger error band) is a slower decrease in A_N^γ at large x_F as compared to our curves. Thus, allowing for such a feature at large x does not alter our conclusions.



Figure 6: A_N^γ vs. q_T at a fixed $x_F = 0.25$ for $\sqrt{S} = 200$, 510 GeV. The curve labels are the same as in Fig. 4.

4 Summary and conclusion

In this paper we have considered the TSSA in direct photon production from proton-proton collisions. In particular, we have calculated the twist-3 contribution to A_N^γ that originates from quark-gluon-quark correlations inside the unpolarized proton. This arises as the coupling of the twist-3 chiral-odd function $E_F(x_1, x_2)$ with the twist-2 chiral-odd transversity. Both SGPs and SFPs were computed. Combined with the twist-3 effects inside the transversely polarized proton [5, 8, 10, 38–43], whose results we summarized, this completes all twist-3 multiparton correlation effects to the asymmetry. Moreover, using known TMD inputs that have relations to the twist-3 functions that arise in the analytical formulas, we provided a numerical study of this full solution. We found that the piece involving chiral-odd functions is negligible (with the SFP term actually vanishing identically in the analytical calculation), and also that the SFP part of the term involving chiral-even functions is small. This leaves the entire effect as due to the "Sivers-type" Qiu-Sterman mechanism. Using the Sivers function extracted from SIDIS [66], our results, including uncertainties, show that A_N^γ could be on the order of several (negative) percent in the forward region. Given that tri-gluon contributions to A_N^γ are extremely small in this x_F-regime [43], we believe this observable could provide a "clean" extraction of the Qiu-Sterman function $G_F(x, x)$. In light of the "sign-mismatch" crisis involving $G_F(x, x)$ and the Sivers function [31], and the recently proposed solution to this issue that relies on the twist-3 fragmentation mechanism [35], such an extraction is of vital importance. In addition, one can obtain important information on the process dependence of the Sivers function as well as help discriminate between the GPM and twist-3 formalisms. Thus, measurements of processes that do not have fragmentation contributions are crucial. Already at RHIC the A_NDY Collaboration has measured the jet TSSA [22], and the PHENIX Collaboration [24] and the STAR Collaboration [25] have planned experiments for the direct photon TSSA analyzed here.

Acknowledgments

We thank the authors of Ref. [66], especially A. Prokudin, for providing us with the parameter sets of the Sivers function that allowed us to draw the error bands in our figures. We also appreciate useful exchanges with M. Chiu and A. Vossen about the kinematics that will be accessed by the PHENIX and STAR experiments, respectively, when measuring A_N^γ. This work has been supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Society of Promotion of Science under Contract No. 26287040 (Y.K.), the National Science Foundation under Contract No. PHY-1205942 (K.K. and A.M.), and the RIKEN BNL Research Center (D.P.).

Appendix A: Derivation of the master formula in Eq. (14)

In this appendix we will provide a derivation of Eq. (14) for the SGP contribution. For the quark-gluon-quark correlation function $G_F(x,x)$, it has been shown that the hard cross section for the SGP contribution can be related to the twist-2 unpolarized cross section. Here we shall show that the SGP cross section for the chiral-odd correlation function $E_F(x,x)$ can also be related to a certain cross section for 2-to-2 parton scattering, but in a slightly different form as shown in (14). To this end we first define the hard part $H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)$ for $E_F(x_1,x_2)$ by pulling out the factor $\gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}$ from $M_F^\alpha(x_1,x_2)$ to $S_\lambda(k_1,k_2,x'p',q)p^\lambda$ and taking the Dirac-traces,

$$H^\alpha(k_1,k_2) = \mathrm{Tr}\left[S_\lambda(k_1,k_2,x'p',q)p^\lambda \gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\right], \tag{31}$$

where we have suppressed $x'p'$ and q in the argument of H^α for short. Multiplying $\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)/\partial k_2^\alpha|^{\rm pole}_{\rm c.l.}$ by $x/4$ and taking an appropriate color trace, one obtains the cross section in Eq. (17). Corresponding to the two diagrams in Fig. 2, one can write $H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)$ as the sum of two contributions,

$$H^\alpha(k_1,k_2) = H_L^\alpha(k_1,k_2) + H_R^\alpha(k_1,k_2)\,, \tag{32}$$

where H_L and H_R denote the contribution from 2(a) and 2(b), respectively, and the momenta k_1 and k_2 are assigned as shown in Fig. 1(a). Since the extra coherent gluon line coming out of the unpolarized proton is attached to the incoming quark lines from the polarized proton, H_L and H_R have the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} H_L^\alpha(k_1,k_2) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left[\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(k_2,x'p',q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \not{S} L(k_1,k_2) T_A F^{\mu\nu}(k_1,k_2-k_1+x'p',q)\gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\right] \\ &\quad \times \delta\big((k_2+x'p'-q)^2\big)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_R^\alpha(k_1,k_2) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left[\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(k_2,k_1-k_2+x'p',q) R(k_1,k_2)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \not{S} T_A F^{\mu\nu}(k_1,x'p',q)\gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\right] \\ &\quad \times \delta\big((k_1+x'p'-q)^2\big)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}(k_1,k',q)$ represents the hard scattering part denoted by the gray circle in the left of the cut in Fig. 1(a) with the incoming momenta k_1 and k' for the (anti)quark line entering the circle, $\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(k_1,k',q) = \gamma^0 F_{\mu\nu}(k_1,k',q)^\dagger \gamma^0$, and $L(k_1,k_2)$ and $R(k_1,k_2)$ represent the factors associated with the barred quark propagator which produces the SGP in Fig. 2,

$$L(k_1,k_2) = x'\not{p}'\not{p}\frac{-1}{\not{k}_1 - \not{k}_2 - x'\not{p}' + i\epsilon}, \tag{35}$$

$$R(k_1,k_2) = \frac{-1}{\not{k}_2 - \not{k}_1 - x'\not{p}' - i\epsilon} x'\not{p}\not{p}'. \tag{36}$$

Here we remark that the polarization tensor $-g_{\mu\nu}$ has been used in (33) and (34) for the final photon and the gluon. This procedure is allowed following the same argument presented in Sec. 3.1.3 of [9]. In (33) and (34), we explicitly inserted the color matrix T_A corresponding to the attachment of the coherent gluon line. But, for simplicity in the notation, we omit the color index A from $H_{L,R}^\alpha$. Following [10,61,62], we calculate the derivative $\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)/\partial k_2^\alpha|^{\rm pole}_{\rm c.l.}$ by keeping the factors $F^{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}$ intact. We note that the derivative $\partial/\partial k_2^\alpha$ hits either $L(k_1,k_2)$ ($R(k_1,k_2)$) or other factors in $H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)$. One thus needs

$$L(x_1 p, x_2 p) = \frac{1}{x_2 - x_1 + i\epsilon} x'\not{p}', \tag{37}$$

$$R(x_1p, x_2p) = \frac{-1}{x_2 - x_1 + i\epsilon} x'\!\!\!/'\,, \tag{38}$$

and

$$\left.\frac{\partial L(k_1,k_2)}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\right|_{\rm c.l.} = \frac{1}{2p\cdot p'}\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon} p'\!\!\!/ p\!\!\!/ \gamma_\alpha + \frac{(-p'_\alpha)}{p\cdot p'}\left(\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon}\right)^2 x'\!\!\!/'\,, \tag{39}$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial R(k_1,k_2)}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\right|_{\rm c.l.} = \frac{1}{2p\cdot p'}\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon} \gamma_\alpha p\!\!\!/ p'\!\!\!/ + \frac{(p'_\alpha)}{p\cdot p'}\left(\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon}\right)^2 x'\!\!\!/'\,. \tag{40}$$

For convenience we decompose $\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)/\partial k_2^\alpha|^{\rm pole}_{\rm c.l.}$ into three pieces: (i) the double pole terms coming from the second terms in (39) and (40) when the derivative hits L or R; (ii) the simple pole terms coming from the first terms in (39) and (40); and (iii) the simple pole terms which occur with (37) and (38) when the derivative hits other factors in $H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)$.

The pole part of the contribution (i) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\right|^{\rm (i)}_{\rm c.l.} \\
&= \frac{-p'_\alpha}{p\cdot p'}\left[\left(\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon}\right)^2\right]^{\rm pole} {\rm Tr}\Big[\bar F_{\mu\nu}(x_2p, x'p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 s\!\!\!/ x'\!\!\!/' p\!\!\!/ T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1p, (x_2-x_1)p + x'p', q) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \gamma_5 p\!\!\!/ \gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\Big]\delta\big((x_2p + x'p' - q)^2\big) \\
&+ \frac{p'_\alpha}{p\cdot p'}\left[\left(\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon}\right)^2\right]^{\rm pole} {\rm Tr}\Big[\bar F_{\mu\nu}(x_2p, (x_1-x_2)p + x'p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 s\!\!\!/ x'\!\!\!/' p\!\!\!/ T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1p, x'p', q) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \gamma_5 p\!\!\!/ \gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\Big]\delta\big((x_1p + x'p' - q)^2\big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Owing to the presence of the factor $\delta'(x_2 - x_1)$, we need to keep terms up to linear order in $x_2 - x_1$ in the Taylor expansion of the right-hand-side with respect to x_2 around x_1. In this expansion, the double pole terms cancel and the remaining simple pole terms can be combined into a compact form by transforming the derivative with respect to x_2p into that with respect to $x'p'$. One thus obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\right|^{\rm (i)}_{\rm c.l.} \\
&= \frac{-p'_\alpha}{p\cdot p'}\left(\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon}\right)^{\rm pole} \\
&\times\Big\{p^\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial x'p'^\lambda}{\rm Tr}\left[\bar F_{\mu\nu}(x_1p, x'p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 s\!\!\!/ x'\!\!\!/' p\!\!\!/ T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1p, x'p', q)\gamma_5 p\!\!\!/\gamma_\kappa\epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\delta\big((x_1p + x'p' - q)^2\big)\right] \\
&\qquad - {\rm Tr}\left[\bar F_{\mu\nu}(x_1p, x'p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 s\!\!\!/ p\!\!\!/ T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1p, x'p', q)\gamma_5 p\!\!\!/\gamma_\kappa\epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\delta\big((x_1p + x'p' - q)^2\big)\right]\Big\}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Similarly, one obtains for the contributions (ii) and (iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\right|^{\rm (ii)}_{\rm c.l.} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{x_2-x_1+i\epsilon}\right)^{\rm pole} {\rm Tr}\left[\bar F_{\mu\nu}(x_1p, x'p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5\left(\frac{p\cdot S}{p\cdot p'}\gamma_\alpha p\!\!\!/' - \frac{p'_\alpha}{p\cdot p'} s\!\!\!/ p\!\!\!/ - \frac{S_\alpha}{p\cdot p'} p\!\!\!/ p'\!\!\!/ + s\!\!\!/\gamma_\alpha\right)\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q)\gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\delta\big((x_1 p + x' p' - q)^2\big)\Big], \tag{43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\bigg|^{\rm (iii)}_{\rm c.l.} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{x_2 - x_1 + i\epsilon}\right)^{\rm pole} \Bigg\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x' p'^\alpha} {\rm Tr}\Big[\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \not{S} \not{x}' \not{p}' T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\delta\big((x_1 p + x' p' - q)^2\big)\Big] \\
&\quad - {\rm Tr}\Big[\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \not{S}\gamma_\alpha T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q)\gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\delta\big((x_1 p + x' p' - q)^2\big)\Big]\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

By taking the sum of (42), (43) and (44), we finally find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial H^\alpha(k_1,k_2)}{\partial k_2^\alpha}\bigg|^{\rm (i)+(ii)+(iii)}_{\rm c.l.} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{x_2 - x_1 + i\epsilon}\right)^{\rm pole} \\
&\times \Bigg\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x' p'^\alpha} - \frac{p'_\alpha p^\lambda}{p\cdot p'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x' p'^\lambda}\right) {\rm Tr}\Big[\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \not{S} \not{x}' \not{p}' T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\delta\big((x_1 p + x' p' - q)^2\big)\Big] \\
&\quad + {\rm Tr}\Big[\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \left(\frac{p\cdot S}{p\cdot p'}\gamma_\alpha \not{p}' - \frac{S_\alpha}{p\cdot p'}\not{p}\not{p}'\right) T_A F^{\mu\nu}(x_1 p, x' p', q) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \gamma_5 \not{p}\gamma_\kappa \epsilon^{\kappa\alpha np}\delta\big((x_1 p + x' p' - q)^2\big)\Big]\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

This formula provides a convenient tool to calculate the SGP cross section in a general frame. In a frame where p and p' are collinear, one has $p\cdot S = 0$ and (45) results in Eq. (14) with

$$S(xp, x'p', q) = \bar{F}_{\mu\nu}(xp, x'p', q)\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5 \not{S} \not{x}' \not{p}' T_A F^{\mu\nu}(xp, x'p', q)\delta\big((xp + x'p' - q)^2\big)\,. \tag{46}$$

Note that one should first keep $p'_\perp \neq 0$ when taking the derivative $\partial/\partial x' p'^\alpha$ and then take the limit $p'_\perp \to 0$. The derivative $\partial/\partial x' p'^\alpha$ can be taken by using a similar procedure as described in [75].

References

[1] G. Bunce, R. Handler, R. March, P. Martin, L. Pondrom, M. Sheaff, K. J. Heller and O. Overseth *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 1113 (1976).

[2] G. L. Kane, J. Pumplin and W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1689 (1978).

[3] A. V. Efremov and O. V. Teryaev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **36**, 140 (1982) [Yad. Fiz. **36**, 242 (1982)]; Phys. Lett. B **150**, 383 (1985).

[4] J.-w. Qiu and G. F. Sterman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2264 (1991).

[5] J.-w. Qiu and G. F. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B **378**, 52 (1992).

[6] J.-w. Qiu and G. F. Sterman, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 014004 (1998) [hep-ph/9806356].

[7] H. Eguchi, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B **752**, 1 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0604003];

[8] C. Kouvaris, J. W. Qiu, W. Vogelsang and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 114013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0609238].

[9] H. Eguchi, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B **763**, 198 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0610314].

[10] Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Phys. Lett. B **646**, 232 (2007) [Erratum-ibid. B **668**, 458 (2008)] [hep-ph/0612117].

[11] Y. Koike and T. Tomita, Phys. Lett. B **675**, 181 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1923 [hep-ph]].

[12] K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 034009 (2010) [arXiv:1005.1468 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Rev. D **83**, 114024 (2011) [arXiv:1104.0117 [hep-ph]].

[13] A. Metz and D. Pitonyak, Phys. Lett. B **723**, 365 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5037 [hep-ph]].

[14] K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 074022 (2013) [arXiv:1309.1215 [hep-ph]].

[15] D. L. Adams *et al.* [E581 and E704 Collaborations], Phys. Lett. B **261**, 201 (1991); D. L. Adams *et al.* [E704 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **264**, 462 (1991).

[16] K. Krueger *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **459**, 412 (1999).

[17] J. Adams *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 171801 (2004) [hep-ex/0310058]; B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 222001 (2008) [arXiv:0801.2990 [hep-ex]].

[18] S. S. Adler *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 202001 (2005) [hep-ex/0507073].

[19] J. H. Lee and F. Vidabæk [BRAHMS Collaboration], AIP Conf. Proc. **915**, 533 (2007).

[20] I. Arsene *et al.* [BRAHMS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 042001 (2008) [arXiv:0801.1078 [nucl-ex]].

[21] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86**, 051101 (2012) [arXiv:1205.6826 [nucl-ex]].

[22] L. C. Bland *et al.* [AnDY Collaboration], arXiv:1304.1454 [hep-ex].

[23] A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **90**, 012006 (2014) [arXiv:1312.1995 [hep-ex]]; Phys. Rev. D **90**, 072008 (2014) [arXiv:1406.3541 [hep-ex]].

[24] PHENIX Beam Use Proposal: Run-15 and Run-16, May 2014.

[25] RHIC Beam Use Request for Runs 15 and 16: The STAR Collaboration, June 2014.

[26] D. Boer, R. Jakob and P. J. Mulders, Nucl. Phys. B **504**, 345 (1997) [hep-ph/9702281].

[27] A. Bacchetta, M. Diehl, K. Goeke, A. Metz, P. J. Mulders and M. Schlegel, JHEP **0702**, 093 (2007) [hep-ph/0611265].

[28] D. Pitonyak, M. Schlegel and A. Metz, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 054032 (2014) [arXiv:1310.6240 [hep-ph]].

[29] D. W. Sivers, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 83 (1990); Phys. Rev. D **43**, 261 (1991).

[30] J. C. Collins, Nucl. Phys. B **396**, 161 (1993) [hep-ph/9208213].

[31] Z. B. Kang, J. W. Qiu, W. Vogelsang and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 094001 (2011) [arXiv:1103.1591 [hep-ph]].

[32] Z.-B. Kang and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 074008 (2012) [arXiv:1201.5427 [hep-ph]].

[33] A. Metz, D. Pitonyak, A. Schäfer, M. Schlegel, W. Vogelsang and J. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 094039 (2012) [arXiv:1209.3138 [hep-ph]].

[34] J. Katich *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 022502 (2014) [arXiv:1311.0197 [nucl-ex]].

[35] K. Kanazawa, Y. Koike, A. Metz and D. Pitonyak, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 111501(R) (2014) [arXiv:1404.1033 [hep-ph]].

[36] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 034028 (2012) [Erratum-ibid. D **86**, 079906 (2012)] [arXiv:1201.5890 [hep-ph]].

[37] A. Schäfer and J. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 034016 (2014) [arXiv:1404.5809 [hep-ph]].

[38] X. Ji, J. w. Qiu, W. Vogelsang and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 094017 (2006) [hep-ph/0604023].

[39] K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike, Phys. Lett. B **701**, 576 (2011) [arXiv:1105.1036 [hep-ph]].

[40] L. Gamberg and Z. B. Kang, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 181 (2012) [arXiv:1208.1962 [hep-ph]].

[41] K. Kanazawa and Y. Koike, Phys. Lett. B **720**, 161 (2013) [arXiv:1212.3071].

[42] L. Gamberg, Z. B. Kang and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 232301 (2013) [arXiv:1302.3218 [hep-ph]].

[43] Y. Koike and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 034030 (2012) [arXiv:1112.1161 [hep-ph]].

[44] Y. Kanazawa and Y. Koike, Phys. Lett. B **478**, 121 (2000) [hep-ph/0001021].

[45] Y. Kanazawa and Y. Koike, Phys. Lett. B **490**, 99 (2000) [hep-ph/0007272].

[46] U. D'Alesio and F. Murgia, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 074009 (2004) [hep-ph/0408092].

[47] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, S. Melis, F. Murgia and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 054023 (2013) [arXiv:1304.7691 [hep-ph]].

[48] R. L. Jaffe and X. D. Ji, Nucl. Phys. B **375**, 527 (1992).

[49] D. Boer, P. J. Mulders and O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 3057 (1998) [hep-ph/9710223].

[50] J. P. Ma and Q. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **37**, 293 (2004) [hep-ph/0310245].

[51] J. Zhou, F. Yuan and Z. T. Liang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 054008 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2238 [hep-ph]].

[52] D. Boer and P. J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5780 (1998) [hep-ph/9711485]; D. Boer, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 014012 (1999) [hep-ph/9902255].

[53] K. Goeke, A. Metz and M. Schlegel, Phys. Lett. B **618**, 90 (2005) [hep-ph/0504130].

[54] J. C. Collins, Phys. Lett. B **536**, 43 (2002) [hep-ph/0204004].

[55] X. -d. Ji and F. Yuan, Phys. Lett. B **543**, 66 (2002) [hep-ph/0206057].

[56] A. V. Belitsky, X. Ji and F. Yuan, Nucl. Phys. B **656**, 165 (2003) [hep-ph/0208038].

[57] D. Boer, P. J. Mulders and F. Pijlman, Nucl. Phys. B **667**, 201 (2003) [hep-ph/0303034].

[58] C. J. Bomhof, P. J. Mulders and F. Pijlman, Phys. Lett. B **596**, 277 (2004) [hep-ph/0406099]; Eur. Phys. J. C **47**, 147 (2006) [hep-ph/0601171].

[59] A. Bacchetta, C. J. Bomhof, P. J. Mulders and F. Pijlman, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 034030 (2005) [hep-ph/0505268].

[60] H. Beppu, Y. Koike, K. Tanaka and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 054005 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2034 [hep-ph]].

[61] Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 011502 (2007) [hep-ph/0703169].

[62] Y. Koike, K. Tanaka and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 114014 (2011) [arXiv:1104.0798 [hep-ph]].

[63] A. Mukherjee, M. Stratmann and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 114006 (2003) [hep-ph/0303226].

[64] V. Barone, S. Melis and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 114025 (2010) [arXiv:1009.3423 [hep-ph]].

[65] V. Barone, S. Melis and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 114026 (2010) [arXiv:0912.5194 [hep-ph]].

[66] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, A. Kotzinian, S. Melis, F. Murgia, A. Prokudin and C. Turk, Eur. Phys. J. A **39**, 89 (2009) [arXiv:0805.2677 [hep-ph]].

[67] V. M. Braun, T. Lautenschlager, A. N. Manashov and B. Pirnay, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 094023 (2011) [arXiv:1103.1269 [hep-ph]].

[68] Z. B. Kang, J. W. Qiu and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 114030 (2010) [arXiv:1004.4183 [hep-ph]].

[69] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, S. Melis, F. Murgia and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 094019 (2013) [arXiv:1303.3822 [hep-ph]].

[70] J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1292 (1995) [hep-ph/9409254].

[71] M. Glück, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Eur. Phys. J. C **5**, 461 (1998) [hep-ph/9806404].

[72] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, E. Leader, S. Melis, F. Murgia and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 074032 (2012) [arXiv:1207.6529 [hep-ph]].

[73] J. Dudek, R. Ent, R. Essig, K. S. Kumar, C. Meyer, R. D. McKeown, Z. E. Meziani and G. A. Miller *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **48**, 187 (2012) [arXiv:1208.1244 [hep-ex]].

[74] S. Heppelmann [STAR Collaboration], PoS DIS **2013**, 240 (2013).

[75] Y. Koike and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014026 (2011) [arXiv:1104.3943 [hep-ph]].